Experiment 2: Springs and Oscillations

Part 2B – Simple Harmonic Motion

Name		Part	Partner			Date	
Spring ID Number:		Sprin	Spring Mass (m_s) :			Spring Length (L_0) : 0.18 m	
Measurem	ents for character	izing spring	g	Results			
Mass Force Pos		Position	-	Equilibrium position: $y_0 \pm \Delta y_0 =$			
				Spring Constant: $k \pm \Delta k =$		ant: $k \pm \Delta k = $	
				Verificat	ion		
				New Trial Mass: $m =$			
				Predicted Position: $y \pm \Delta y =$			
				Measured Position: $y \pm \Delta y =$			
Sonic Ranger Gain: Sonic Ranger Offcot: Hanging Mass (m):							
172.9 m/s			-0.436 m				
Period of oscillating mass by using cursors and counting oscillations $(T \pm \Delta T)$:							
Fit of data to $y(t) = y_0 + A\cos(\omega t + \phi)$:					Calculation of period from best fit:		
Equilibrium position: $y_0 \pm \Delta y_0 =$							
Amplitude: $A \pm \Delta A =$							
Angular frequency: $\omega \pm \Delta \omega = $							
Pl	hase angle: $\phi \pm$	$\Delta \phi = $			Period:	$T \pm \Delta T = $	
Theoretical period based on spring characteristics:							
$T = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{m}{k}} =$							

Experiment 2: Springs and Oscillations

Part 2B – Simple Harmonic Motion

Name	Partner	Date					
VPython simulation of position versus time: How does the period from the simulation compare to the theoretical period?							
What additional physical factor(s), not included in the basic theoretical model we used, might affect the period of the oscillator?							
What is the value of the effective	mass needed to get the theory to r	natch the measured period?					
What is the ratio of the ("extra" n	nass) / (spring mass)?						
Write a paragraph that summarizes these results and describes how the ratio you found compares to the ratio found in the energy model from your pre-lab questions?							
Attachments:							
 Annotated graph showing measured position vs time for simple harmonic oscillator, with best fit. Annotated graph showing simulated position vs time for simple harmonic oscillator. 							